
From:
Sent: Saturday, 15 July 2023 3:47 PM
To: Records Department
Subject: [EXTERNAL] APPLICATION NO: DA2023/0130 No lidcombe tip

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Name
Address
Contact details

I am writing to disagree to build a **large waste transfer station in Lidcombe, at 109A Church St.**

Reasons are

- operation are managed to ensure residential amenity is protected' (item 2.12 – Operational Management). This proposed operation completely unprotects the residential amenity.
- Extracts from the amenity of the public space open area of the Pippita Rail Trail which is intended for active use and improved access to parklands and open spaces.
- This site is not suitable for waste transfer processing – it needs to be within a full industrial area, with major arterial roads, not town centre or suburban roads, and not within such reach of a major residential area.

Potential Future Tip Operations

- If it is approved, is it Cumberland City Council to monitor the operations of this business? How will they do this? It adds more strain on the Council
- What penalties will Cumberland City Council impose on the operator re going outside the approved operations?

- If the 'Tip' becomes operational, what if it is extended to become a 'tip' for everything (garbage, recycling, green waste, FOGO and building waste (including asbestos). How will Cumberland City Council monitor the potential expansion of this 'tip'? This is 'futuristic', but could be of concern.