

**From:**  
**To:** [Records Department](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] APPLICATION NO: DA2023/0130  
**Date:** Friday, 14 July 2023 1:10:26 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

---

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am shocked by the above proposal in close proximity to a densely populated area of Lidcombe, close to schools, churches, train station, the main shopping street and new development of units by the tracks. I kindly request that the above DA is rejected in the interest of public health, road safety, environmental wellbeing and traffic congestion.

If such a tip was to be built, then council should not have approved the unsightly block of units by the railways tracks, a stone's throw away from the proposed tip site. Unfortunately of course the block of units have been built, so as a responsible council, it follows that the DA for the tip has to be disapproved. One cannot have the cake and eat it too, especially when we as rate payers are footing the bill. We had to foot the bill for the roadworks that happened as a result of the new units, and are now expected to foot the bill for the maintenance and reparations that would inevitably arise as a result of the above DA which are likely to include:

1. Road damage from the influx of trucks
2. Monitoring and complaints handling of tip operating hours, noise and odour
3. Traffic control measures to ensure road safety, particularly as Church street is a busy thoroughfare
4. Fire controls checks and policing, particularly as we head towards El Nino
5. Air pollution control checks and policing

As a tax and rate payer, I object to these costs and trust and expect that the voice of Lidcome Residents, as ratepayers, as constituents represented by the Council, will be the deciding voice on how rates are used and our suburb is shaped. Accordingly, reject this DA and restore our confidence and trust in the Council.